



Arbitration or Court Suit: Which is Better for Employees?

Legal and Historical Background for Comparing Results of Employee Claims in Court and Arbitration

During most of the 19th and 20th centuries, American doctrine was “employment at will.” Employers could lawfully “dismiss their employees at will ... for good cause, for no cause or even for cause morally wrong.” *Payne*, 81 Tenn. 507, 519-20 (1884).

NWI



Legal and Historical Background for Comparing Results of Employee Claims in Court and Arbitration

By the 1980s, all but a couple of U.S. states had judicially adopted one or more of three different legal theories to modify broad at-will employment rules (St. Antoine, 41 *U. Mich. Journal Law Reform* 783, 783-84 (2008):

NWI



Legal and Historical Background for Comparing Results of Employee Claims in Court and Arbitration

- (1) Tort (contrary to public policy, *e.g.*, firing an employee for refusing to join price-fixing conspiracy);
- (2) Contract (contrary to personnel manual, *e.g.*, “We will not discharge except for good cause”); and/or
- (3) Contrary to implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing (much less common).

NWI



Legal and Historical Background for Comparing Results of Employee Claims in Court and Arbitration

The U.S. has remained one of the major industrial democracies in the world that have not ratified Convention 158 of the International Labor Organization (1982), which provides: “The employment of a worker shall not be terminated unless there is a valid reason for such termination connected with the capacity or conduct of the worker or based on the operational requirements of the undertaking, establishment or service.”

NWI



Legal and Historical Background for Comparing Results of Employee Claims in Court and Arbitration

Relying on common-law modifications of at-will employment, discharged workers won 75 percent of jury cases in California in the 1980s, with the average award about \$450,000. Nationwide, single individuals recovered million-dollar jury awards for actual and punitive damages for wrongful discharges. St. Antoine, *supra*.

NWI



Legal and Historical Background for Comparing Results of Employee Claims in Court and Arbitration

Responding to these developments, many employers imposed so-called “mandatory arbitration.” To get or keep a job, employees would have to agree to arbitrate all legal work disputes rather than take them to court. This would apply even to claims based on federal or state statutes prohibiting discrimination because of race, sex, religion, national origin, age, disability, and so on.

NWI



Legal and Historical Background for Comparing Results of Employee Claims in Court and Arbitration

In the leading *Gilmer* case, 500 U. S. 20 (1991), the Supreme Court upheld (7-2) the validity of such waivers of the right to sue. The Court noted this did not affect the capacity of the EEOC to seek victim-specific judicial relief. See also *Pyett*, 556 U.S. 247 (2009) (union's right to waive).

NWI



Legal and Historical Background for Comparing Results of Employee Claims in Court and Arbitration

Mandatory arbitration applies to 56.2% of studied nonunion private-sector employees (extrapolating, over 60 million total employees). Economic Policy Institute, *The Growing Use of Mandatory Arbitration 2* (2018).

NWI

My colleague Lew Maltby will report on our study of the actual operation of employment arbitration and our recommendations to make it truly voluntary and fair for all parties.



Arbitration Today

- Condition of Employment

NWI



Arbitration Fairness Act

- Agreements to arbitrate must be:
- Voluntary
- Post-Dispute

NWI



The assumption that kills you
is the one you didn't know
you made

NWI



Employers will agree to
arbitrate after the dispute
arises

NWI



Timing of Employment Arbitration Agreements

Pre-dispute 96%

Post-dispute. 4%

NWI



Post-Dispute only
arbitration=
No employment arbitration

NWI



Employment Arbitration Employment Litigation

Comparative Analysis

NWI



Research Keys

- Look at all 2019 and 2020 AAA Employment Arbitration Cases and Court Employment Cases
- Consider all cases (including motions)
- Consider comparable cases

NWI



Pre-trial Motions

- Motion to Dismiss
- Summary Judgment

NWI



Summary

I. Employees win more often in arbitration

II. Arbitration is faster

III. Arbitration provides access to justice in smaller cases

IV. Damages in arbitration are lower

NWI



NWI

Table I Comparative Results of Employment Arbitration and Employment Litigation All Cases

Arbitration (2019-2020)

| Decided After Hearing | Employee Wins | Employer Wins |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| 488 | 152 (31%) | 336 (69%) |
| Decided on Pre-Hearing Motions | Employee Wins | Employer Wins |
| 323 | 0 (0%) | 323 (100%) |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total | Employee Wins | Employer Wins |
| 811 | 152 (19%) | 659 (81%) |

Table I (cont.)

Comparative Results of Employment Arbitration and Employment Litigation All Cases



Court Litigation (2019-2020)

| Decided After Trial | Employee Wins | Employer Wins |
|------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 285 | 85 (30%) | 200 (70%) |
| Decided on Motion to Dismiss | Employee Wins | Employer Wins |
| 5,270 | 0 (0%) | 5,270 (100%) |
| Decided on Summary Judgment | Employee Wins | Employer Wins |
| 4,147 | 0 (0%) | 4,147 (100%) |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total | Employee Wins | Employer Wins |
| 9,702 | 85 (1%) | 9,617 (99%) |

NWI



NWI

Settlements

- Some settlements are employee wins
- No data available of size of settlements
- Including settlements would diminish 19/1 ratio between win rates, but not eliminate it



Table II Speedy Trial Length of Time from Filing to Resolution (Median)

| | Arbitration | Court Litigation |
|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| Cases Tried | 14.8 months | 31 months |
| Cases Settled | 9.7 months | 12 months |

NWI



Table III
Access to Justice
Arbitration
Minimum Damages to Support
Expenses= \$40K

| Number of Employee Wins | Number of Wins with Damages Less than \$40K |
|--------------------------------|--|
| 152 | 58 (38%) |

NWI



NWI

Table IV Damages (median)

Civil Rights Statutes

| | |
|-------------|--------|
| Arbitration | \$39K |
| Litigation | \$406K |

Fair Labor Standards Act

| | |
|-------------|--------|
| Arbitration | \$24K |
| Litigation | \$123K |



Bottom Line

- Arbitration should be retained
- Arbitration should be reformed

NWI



Real Reform

- Voluntary arbitration
pre-dispute or post-dispute
- Mandatory strong due process

NWI



EFAA

- Only post-dispute agreement to arbitrate is enforceable.
- Only the first step

NWI



How NAA Members Can Help

- Encourage labor to speak up

NWI